

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Donald A. Kubik, et al. Examiner: Cephia D. Toomer
Serial No.: 10/676,752 Group Art Unit: 1714
Filed: 10/01/2003 Date: 06/11/2007
Customer No.: 28862 Docket No.: NTIC-F-CON-CIP
(NTICP0113B)
For: **CORROSION INHIBITING COMPOSITION AND ARTICLE CONTAINING
IT**

Mail Stop: ISSUE FEE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

(Facsimile: No. 1-571-273-8300 – Pages 15)

Sir:

The undersigned hereby certifies that the attached **AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR §1.312 AND APPLICANTS' STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW** is being transmitted herewith via facsimile to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313, on **June 11, 2007**.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which might be required or credit any overpayment of fees with regard to the attached document(s) to Account No. 08-3150.

Respectfully submitted,

HUDAK, SHUNK & FARINE CO. LPA



By: Daniel J. Hudak
Registration No. 25,879

DJH/lb

2020 Front Street, Suite 307
Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221
Telephone: (330) 535-2220
Facsimile: (330) 535-1435

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Donald A. Kubik, et al.	Examiner:	Cephia D. Toomer
Serial No.:	10/676,752	Group Art Unit:	1714
Filed:	10/01/2003	Date:	06/11/2007
Customer No.:	28862	Docket No.:	NTIC-F-CON-CIP (NTICP0113B)

For: **CORROSION INHIBITING COMPOSITION AND ARTICLE CONTAINING IT**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPLICANTS' STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Sir:

As required by 37 CFR §1.133(b) Applicant submits a Statement of the Substance of the Interview of May 1, 2007.


A telephone interview was held by the undersigned with Examiner Toomer on May 1, 2007. During the course of the interview it was noted that since various claims such as 3, 23-25, 32, 34, and 36 related to a biodegradable polymer and were not rejected upon any prior art, independent claims 1 and 26 were amended to relate to only a biodegradable polymer and would thus be allowable.

With regard to independent claims 40 and 47, it was argued as set forth in the amendment dated February 20, 2007, that the basis Boerwinkle reference did not teach or suggest an alkali metal silicate or zinc oxide. While the Schmidt reference did teach zinc oxide it did not teach an alkali metal silicate and while the Wysong reference did teach an alkali metal silicate, it did not teach zinc oxide. Moreover, neither reference taught or suggested Applicants' volatile corrosion inhibitor. Thus, data was presented on page 15 of the amendment from the application showing that the addition of either an alkali metal silicate or zinc oxide, as claimed, resulted in unexpected improved properties. Accordingly, the Examiner agreed that such data overcame the prior art of record.

The Applicant thanks Examiner Toomer for the courtesy of granting an interview to Applicant's representative.

Respectfully submitted,

HUDAK, SHUNK & FARINE CO. LPA


By: Daniel J. Hudak
Registration No. 25,879

DJH/lb

2020 Front Street
Suite 307
Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221-3257
(330) 535-2220
Attorney Docket No.: NTIC-F-CON-CIP (NTICP0113B)